

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

To:
MICHAEL D. JONES
KLARQUIST SPARKMAN, LLP
ONE WORLD TRADE CENTER, SUITE 1600
121 SW SALMON STREET
PORTLAND, OR 97204

PCT

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

(PCT Rule 43bis.1)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 899-68308		Date of mailing (day/month/year) 02 NOV 2004	
		FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below	
International application No. PCT/US04/12192	International filing date (day/month/year) 19 April 2004 (19.04.2004)	Priority date (day/month/year) 18 April 2003 (18.04.2003)	
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC IPC(7): A61B 05/00 and US Cl.: 600/544			
Applicant OREGON HEALTH AND SCIENCE UNIVERSITY			

1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:

- ☒ Box No. I Basis of the opinion
- ☐ Box No. II Priority
- ☐ Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
- ☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention
- ☒ Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
- ☐ Box No. VI Certain documents cited
- ☐ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application
- ☐ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international appli.


2. FURTHER ACTION

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA/ US Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 Facsimile No. (703)305-3230	Authorized officer  Robert L. Nasser Telephone No. (703) 308-0858
---	--

**WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY**

International application No.

PCT/US04/12192

Box No. I Basis of this opinion

1. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.

☐ This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language _____, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).

2. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:

a. type of material

☐ a sequence listing

☐ table(s) related to the sequence listing

b. format of material

☐ in written format

☐ in computer readable form

c. time of filing/furnishing

☐ contained in international application as filed.

☐ filed together with the international application in computer readable form.

☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.

3. ☐ In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.

4. Additional comments:

**WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY**

International application No.
PCT/US04/12192

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43 bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)	Claims <u>2, 5-7, 13, 15-22, 24-25</u>	YES
	Claims <u>1, 3, 4, 8-11, 12, 14, 23</u>	NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims <u>2, 5-7, 12, 13, 15-22, 24-25</u>	YES
	Claims <u>1, 3, 8-11, 14, 23</u>	NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims <u>1-25</u>	YES
	Claims <u>NONE</u>	NO

2. Citations and explanations:

Claims 1, 3, 8-11, and 14 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by Hofmann et al. Hofmann et al detects MERs from probe 19, analyzes the MER to produce an array of data and displays the data in an array as a function of electrode depth (see figure 4).

Claim 4, 11, 12, and 23 lacks novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by Howard III et al. Howard et al detects a MER, produces a array of values and uses the array to associate the recording with a particular brain region (see column 5, lines 6-13).

Claims 2, 12, 15, and 24 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest determining the array from a power spectral density of the MER.

Claims 5-7 and 13 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest dividing the array into two windows, as claimed.

Claims 16-22 and 25 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest determining the rate of change of the spike rate from the MER.

Claims 1-25 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus have industrial applicability because the subject matter claimed can be made or used in industry.